


## WHERE DID COVID GO?

By Christopher J. Wilkinson ([Website](#), [LinkedIn](#), [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#), [Telegram](#), [MeWe](#))



Source: BernbaumJG, [Wikimedia \(CC BY 4.0\)](#)

The COVID illusion is over, and the state's own statistics prove it.

The Office for National Statistics has released [provisional full-year statistics for deaths registered weekly in England and Wales](#) during 2021. Far from showing a major increase in deaths, the opposite has occurred – despite the supposedly deadly presence of COVID. For most of 2021, [recorded mortality figures have not stemmed from the coronavirus](#). This new release [reaffirms the legitimacy of the scepticism many have shown in the data](#). It begs an answer to the question of why such sweeping and highly destructive national measures have been deemed most appropriate in tackling the situation. It has been convincingly argued that [a devolved approach of Focused Protection would have been a suitable alternative, supported by numerous reputable scientists and clinicians who have become signatories of the Great Barrington Declaration](#),

to a cocktail of lockdowns, social distancing and face masks which has shot the global economy to pieces, disrupted supply lines, destroyed jobs, closed businesses, increased living costs, worsened mental health and most appallingly of all has led to suicide.

It is worth noting that the Analysis spreadsheet in both the 2020 and 2021 dataset mentions that the statistics include instances 'where COVID-19 or suspected COVID-19 was mentioned anywhere on the death certificate, including in combination with other health conditions'; this is reaffirmed in the Information spreadsheet. At the outset, [there is a strong suggestion of statistical unreliability](#) since the methodological scope of the data series encompasses numerous variables, such as the possibility of comorbidities being responsible for death, that should be delineated to provide clarity. Coronavirus deaths [were not officially being recorded in the United Kingdom until mid-February 2020](#), despite the virus supposedly first appearing in late 2019. [A Freedom of Information request revealed the total number of deaths related solely to COVID-19](#) was just 9,400 for 2020 and 7,971 for the first three quarters of 2021, despite the onslaught of apparently ever-more dangerous variants; a scientifically implausible claim [proven incorrect by subsequent data](#). Another Freedom of Information request demonstrated that [there have been no less than 103,857 excess deaths since March 2020](#); at a rate of roughly five avoidable deaths for every confirmed death resulting from COVID, the apparent 'cure' of government intervention has most certainly been worse than the disease itself.

Revealingly, 20,183 deaths were attributed to COVID for the under-65s in 2021, an increase on the 8,579 deaths recorded in the year for which no vaccine was available. Nearly twice as many over-65s died from causes linked to COVID during 2021 than during 2020, at 139,372 and 75,870 respectively. The 2020 figures have been [revised up from previous estimates made in earlier analyses](#). Coronavirus has been

[falsely presented as a disease that seriously affects all age groups](#) since the data suggests, and has always suggested, that it is [significantly more likely to affect the elderly population](#) than those of working age. One still cannot disprove the claim that a number of those recorded to have died with COVID would have died without it had COVID not existed. Omitting discrepancies, the total number of deaths from all causes was 614,144 during 2020. In 2021, this figure had fallen to 585,889 – a reduction of nearly 30,000, and [only 45,000 above the pre-pandemic 2019 level](#). Included in this figure are the avoidable excess deaths, meaning the effect of COVID on mortality rates in Britain has been broadly negligible. What, therefore, has been the rationale for a programme of mass vaccination? We are right to [question the implications a worldwide digital health pass](#) will have on human liberty, wellbeing and state overreach.

In establishing solid facts, we must examine the veracity of some of the claims made by both states and individuals regarding coronavirus. The World Health Organisation [confirmed in 2020 that asymptomatic spread of COVID was near impossible](#), dispelling the 'silent spreader' myth. The [official government-sanctioned vaccine guidance](#) states 'Some people may still get COVID-19 despite having a vaccination, but this should be less severe', raising doubts as to the efficacy of the vaccine in reducing the transmission of the virus. 'Two weeks to flatten the curve' has [turned the world into a culturally dystopian, liberty-loathing nightmare](#). Herd immunity has been known to be [attainable from either natural infection or vaccination](#), yet states around the world did not permit individuals personal choice in this instance. In December, Queen guitarist Brian May [attended a dinner party where all attendees had received three vaccines and tested negative](#); following the event, May tested positive and became ill soon after, taking to social media encouraging people to get vaccinated – you can draw your own conclusions on that one.



Source: A1Cafel, [Wikimedia \(CC BY 2.0\)](#)

Supporters of policies such as social distancing and mask wearing have so far dissociated their affirmative actions from their negative consequences; the identity-eliminating wearing of face masks is [actively encouraged in government regulations and state-funded propaganda](#) helping to foster a fear-inducing climate of social abnormality, while social distancing has been deemed acceptable to the point where weddings and [funerals have been reduced to isolationist, emotionless, meaningless throwaway events](#). [Individual willingness to embrace such acts of self-destruction](#) is a worrying indicator for future social trends. Contrary to [O'Brien's torture of Winston in George Orwell's Nineteen Eighty-Four](#), the government does not control life at all levels. Equally, the government cannot save every life. People die; they died before COVID, and they'll die after COVID. It is a virus that individuals and governments must learn to live with. People deserve to know the facts about it instead of being fed a morbid diet of rhetoric and half-truths. Just because the voice of the state is louder than all others doesn't make it the most trustworthy. Facts aren't dangerous, but ignorance is.

---

# OBEDIENCE PART II – A LAYMAN'S PERSPECTIVE

By James Goad ([Facebook](#), [Twitter](#), [Gettr](#), [Rumble](#))

The level to which we are overly obedient to authority, as well as suggestible, has been subject to formal and informal experimentation. I will take two examples that are reasonably well known.

The first example is the [Milgram Experiment](#); a study into the obedience of authority figures by Stanley Milgram and team at Yale University in the early 1960s. The timing of the experiment was influenced by the then-ongoing Adolf Eichmann trial in Jerusalem. The war criminal had used the "I was only obeying orders" defence all-too common at the post-war trials of offenders from the National Socialist

regime. Observing the trial defence led Milgram to pursue the experiment based on the question: "Could it be that Eichmann and his million accomplices in the Holocaust were just following orders? Could we call them all accomplices?".



Source: Yurii Kandurov, Ksenia Kandurova, Dmitry Stavtsev; [Wikimedia \(CC BY 4.0\)](#)

The test subjects delivered what they believed to be electric shocks to another test subject behind a wall (played by an actor taking part in the experiment; a fact unbeknown to the real test subject) at the wrong answer to a question posed to them. This was all at the prompting of an authority figure in a white coat. Despite all subjects questioning the nature of the experiment, and most appearing uncomfortable with it to varying degrees, the test subjects obeyed instruction to complete the 'test' from the authority figure. This was despite the screams and other signs of distress from the actor behind the wall at the ever-higher doses of

'electric shock' being issued to them by the subject. Stanley Milgram summarised thus in "[The Perils of Obedience](#)", *Harper's Magazine* in 1974:

*'The legal and philosophic aspects of obedience are of enormous importance, but they say very little about how most people behave in concrete situations. I set up a simple experiment at Yale University to test how much pain an ordinary citizen would inflict on another person simply because he was ordered to by an experimental scientist. Stark authority was pitted against the subjects' [participants]' strongest moral imperatives against hurting others, and, with the subjects' [participants]' ears ringing with the screams of the victims, authority won more often than not. The extreme willingness of adults to go to almost any lengths on the command of an authority constitutes the chief finding of the study and the fact most urgently demanding explanation. Ordinary people, simply doing their jobs, and without any particular hostility on their part, can become agents in a terrible destructive process. Moreover, even when the destructive effects of their work become patently clear, and they are asked to carry out actions incompatible with fundamental standards of morality, relatively few people have the resources needed to resist authority'.*

The use of authority figures has been regularly used by state and media propagandists doing the bidding of the global state. White-coated lab 'scientists' and uniformed policeman are amongst those figures utilised to convey messaging, especially after some state-derived false flag terror incident, such as the July 7 [London Tube bombings](#).

The more informal experiment in psychological manipulation was carried out by Derren Brown in Netflix's *The Push*, whereby (spoiler alert) the majority of test subjects ended up pushing a man off high scaffolding at the culmination of an evening of psychological manipulation. The events were all carefully staged, of course. No one was actually hurt. The end goal was reached incrementally, with the test subjects influenced at every turn from simple little deceptions from swapping real sausage rolls for veggie ones, to hiding a body and ultimately to murder. One step at a time.

The parallels to where we are today with the state COVID hoax is obvious, and depressing. The British public have been led down a path of compliance. From early years miseducation through to relentless propagandistic messaging, most are still unaware as to the extent to which their very thoughts are not really their own.

Presently us anti-jab, anti-lockdown campaigners are cast as the undesirables. We in the United Kingdom have it easy – for now. We should surely anticipate the next stage of state coercion based on

the next fear-factor. They'll take it up all the way to eleven with mindless state actors moving us to the next stage of the programme. We will soon be at the stage of what is being instituted in Canada and Australia, which are seemingly on the brink of interning the unvaccinated.

Be afraid; be very afraid.

---

# INFLATION EATS AWAY ECONOMIC RECOVERY

By Christopher J. Wilkinson ([Website](#), [LinkedIn](#), [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#), [Telegram](#), [MeWe](#))

RPI inflation reached 7.5 per cent in December 2021, [the highest level in thirty years](#).

The inflation statistics are the latest economic concern for Boris Johnson's embattled government. [Housing costs and fuel prices were the primary causes](#) of the increase, with general cost-push pressures being experienced as [global supply lines come under strain](#) from [worker absence, delivery delays and material shortages](#).

The M2 measure of money supply [has increased by roughly a quarter since the start of 2020](#), though this increase has not entirely been reflected in ONS inflation data. Under the [National Living Wage award for 2022](#), only apprentices and 21 to 22-year-olds will see a real increase in living standards this year should high inflation rates persist.



Source: [kschneider2991, Pixabay \(Pixabay Licence\)](#)

Contrary to [claims by the mainstream media, the British economy has not recovered to its pre-pandemic peak](#) with the economic rebound slowing sharply in the third quarter of 2021. The current recession remains [the worst in three hundred years](#). The UK's balance of payment deficit, a measure of a country's ability to pay its way in the world, [remains abnormally high for recession circumstances](#).

More enlightening news, however, can be found with employment [at 75.5 per cent seasonally adjusted in November](#), up from 74.6 per cent at the trough of last January. [Unemployment fell to 4.1 per cent in November](#), down from a peak of 5.2 per cent in December 2020; female unemployment remains slightly lower than male unemployment.

---

## WE'RE LOOKING FOR WRITERS!

WE HOPE YOU'VE ENJOYED READING *FREE SPEECH*. IF YOU'D LIKE TO SUBMIT AN ARTICLE FOR THE NEXT EDITION, PLEASE HEAD OVER TO [OUR WEBSITE](#) FOR MORE INFORMATION. IT'S EASY TO GET YOUR WORK PUBLISHED AND A GREAT WAY TO REACH OUT AND CONNECT WITH OTHER PRO-LIBERTY INDIVIDUALS. MEANWHILE, YOU CAN FOLLOW BLACKLIST PRESS ON [FACEBOOK](#), [TWITTER](#) AND [TELEGRAM](#) TO KEEP UP TO DATE WITH OUR NEW RELEASES.